

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,442	07/18/2003	Tae-Wan Kim	66195/JPW/AJM/JCS 3560		
75	90 09/29/2005	EXAMINER			
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			HADDAD, MAHER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	N-	A Para atta			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	,		
		10/623,4	42	KIM ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Maher M.		1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the	ILING DATE OF TI f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nication. Itory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be timulated will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) ☐ 3 3) ☐ 3 0 Disposition	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2t Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practice on of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the ap a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	b) This action is ror allowance except e under Ex parte Que plication.	t for formal matters, pro uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		erits is		
6) (7) (Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.		·		
	•	Eveniner					
10)□ T ,	he specification is objected to by the he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a he drawing that any objection is objected to he oath or declaration is objected to he	a) accepted or by ion to the drawing(s) he correction is required.	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) D Notice 3) D Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	2)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/623,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 20-22, drawn to an isolated CD44 fragment and an article of manufacture, classified in Class 530, subclass 324-330.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to an antibody which specifically binds to the CD44 fragment; classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3, and 391.1.
- III. Claims 9-10, drawn to a method for determining whether an agent increases the amount of CD44 fragment formed in a CD44⁺ cell comprising contacting the CD44⁺ cell with the agent, determining the amount of γ-secretase-generated CD44 fragmetn present in the CD44⁺ cell; classified in Class 435, subclasses 7.2.
- IV. Claim 11, drawn to a method for increasing the amount of CD44 fragment formed in a CD44+ cell, which method comprises introducing into the cell <u>γ-secretase</u>, classified in Class 435, subclasses 7.2.
- V. Claim 11, drawn to a method for increasing the amount of CD44 fragment formed in a CD44+ cell, which method comprises introducing into the cell <u>γ-secretase agonist</u>, classified in Class 435, subclasses 7.2.
- VI. Claim 11, drawn to a method for increasing the amount of CD44 fragment formed in a CD44+ cell, which method comprises introducing into the cell <u>γ-secretase</u> and <u>γ-secretase</u> and <u>γ-secretase</u> agonist, classified in Class 435, subclasses 7.2.
- VII. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method for determining the amount of CD44 fragment in a sample comprises contacting the sample with the antibody that binds a CD44 fragment, classified in Class 435, subclasses 7.1.
- VIII. Claim 18, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder comprising administering to the subject <u>γ-secretase</u>, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is <u>cancer</u>, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.
- IX. Claim 18, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder comprising administering to the subject <u>γ-secretase agonist</u>, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is <u>cancer</u>, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.
- X. Claim 19, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder comprising administering to the subject γ -secretase, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is <u>streptococcal invasion</u>, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,442 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

XI. Claim 19, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder comprising administering to the subject <u>γ-secretase agonist</u>, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is <u>streptococcal invasion</u>, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.

- XII. Claim 18, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder with <u>CD44 fragment</u>, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is <u>cancer</u>, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.
- XIII. Claim 19, drawn to a method for treating a subject afflicted with a CD44-associated disorder with CD44 fragment, wherein the CD44-associated disorder is streptococcal invasion,, classified in Class 424, subclasses 185.1.

Claim 16 links inventions VIII-XI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 16. Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Similarly, claim 17 links inventions XII-XIII. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 17.

- 2. Groups I and II are different products. Polypeptides, and antibodies to the polypeptides differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 3. Groups III-XIII are different methods. Various methods for determining, various methods for increase and various method of treating differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 4. Groups I/(XII and XIII) are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Application/Control Number: 10/623,442

Art Unit: 1644

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the CD44 fragments of Group I can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the various methods recited.

- 5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brower and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 27, 2005

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Maker Haddad